**Electronically Filed** 1 by Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara, 2 on 5/13/2024 4:19 PM 3 Reviewed By: R. Walker Case #24CV434093 4 Envelope: 15315406 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 11 General Order Re: ORDER DEEMING CASES COMPLEX AND STAYING 12 DISCOVERY AND RESPONSIVE Related Google LLC Cases Involving PLEADING DEADLINES "Incognito" Mode 13 14 Judge: Hon. Charles F. Adams **Department:** 7 (Complex) 15 16 17 Over 1,700 individual actions against Google LLC, related to Google LLC's "Incognito" 18 Mode, have been filed, beginning on March 28, 2024. All Plaintiffs in each of the individual 19 actions are represented by the Office of Boies Schiller Flexner LLP. This General Order applies 20 to each of these cases, listed in Exhibit A, which is expected to be supplemented in the future. 21 Plaintiff's Counsel is ordered to serve this order on counsel for Google LLC. 22 Plaintiff's Counsel shall notify the Court of any case not already listed in Exhibit A. 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 24 The Court determines that the related Google LLC Cases involving "Incognito" Mode 25 are **COMPLEX** within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400. These matters shall be, 26 and are, assigned, for all purposes, including discovery and trial, to **Department** 7 (Complex 27 Civil Litigation), the **Honorable Charles F. Adams** presiding. 28

7

5

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

The parties are directed to the Court's local rules and guidelines regarding electronic filing and to the Complex Civil Guidelines, which are available on the Court's website.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.254, the creation and maintenance of the Master Service List for each individual action shall be under the auspices of (1) the first-named Plaintiff in each of the Complaints, and (2) the first-named party in each Cross-Complaint, if any.

Pursuant to Government Code section 70616(b), each party's complex case fee, in each of the individual actions, is due within ten (10) calendar days of this date.

Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on all parties forthwith and file a proof of service within seven (7) days of service.

Any party objecting to the complex designation must file an objection and proof of service within ten (10) days of service of this Order. Any response to the objection must be filed within seven (7) days of service of the objection. The Court will make its ruling on the submitted pleadings.

The Case Management Conference in each individual action remains set for <u>August 22</u>, <u>2024 at 2:30 p.m. in Department 7</u>.

Counsel for all parties are ordered to meet and confer in person at least 15 days prior to the First Case Management Conference and discuss the following issues:

- 1. Issues related to recusal or disqualification;
- 2. Issues of law that, if considered by the Court, may simplify or further resolution of the case, including issues regarding choice of law;
- 3. Appropriate alternative dispute resolution (ADR), for example, mediation, mandatory settlement conference, arbitration, mini-trial;
- 4. A plan for preservation of evidence and a uniform system for identification of documents throughout the course of this litigation;
- 5. A plan for document disclosure/production and additional discovery; which will generally be conducted under court supervision and by court order;
- 6. Whether it is advisable to address discovery in phases so that information needed to conduct meaningful ADR is obtained early in the case (counsel should consider whether they will stipulated to limited merits discovery in advance of certification proceedings), allowing the option to complete discovery if ADR efforts are unsuccessful;
- 7. Any issues involving the protection of evidence and confidentiality;
- 8. The handling of any potential publicity issues;

Counsel for Plaintiffs shall take the lead in preparing a Joint Case Management Conference Statement to be filed five (5) calendar days prior to the First Case Management Conference, and include the following:

- 1. a brief objective summary of the case;
- 2. a summary of any orders from prior case management conferences and the progress of the parties' compliance with said orders;
- 3. significant procedural and practical problems that may likely be encountered;
- 4. suggestions for efficient management, including a proposed timeline of key events; and
- 5. any other special consideration to assist the court in determining an effective case management plan.

To the extent the parties are unable to agree on the matters to be addressed in the Joint Case Management Conference Statement, the positions of each party or of various parties should be set forth separately and attached to this report as addenda. The parties are encouraged to propose, either jointly or separately, any approaches to case management they believe will promote the fair and efficient handling of this case. The Court is particularly interested in identifying potentially dispositive or significant threshold issues the early resolution of which may assist in moving the case toward effective ADR and/or a final disposition.

STAY ON DISCOVERY AND RESPONSIVE PLEADING DEADLINE Pending further order of this Court, the service of discovery and the obligation to respond to any outstanding discovery is stayed. However, Defendant(s) shall file a Notice of Appearance for purposes of identification of counsel and preparation of a service list. The filing of such a Notice of Appearance shall be without prejudice to the later filing of a motion to quash to contest jurisdiction. Parties shall not file or serve responsive pleadings, including answers to the complaint, motions to strike, demurrers, motions for change of venue and cross-complaints until a date is set at the First Case Management Conference for such filings and hearings.

This General Order is issued to assist the Court and the parties in the management of these "Complex" cases through the development of an orderly schedule for briefing and hearings.

This Order shall not preclude the parties from continuing to informally exchange documents that may assist in their initial evaluation of the issues presented in this Case. SO ORDERED. Dated: May 13, 2024 Hon. Charles F. Adams Judge of the Superior Court